# Focus positions and the distribution of interrogative phrases in Udmurt

#### Erika Asztalos

Hungarian Research Centre for Linguistics & Eötvös Loránd University

Congressus XIII Internationalis Fenno-Ugristarum Vienna, 25 August 2022

## Main questions of the talk

- 1) some recent works claim that **Udmurt** is undergoing an **SOV > SVO** change (Tánczos 2013, Asztalos, Gugán & Mus 2017, Asztalos 2018, a.o.)
  - the placement of interrogative (wh-) words/phrases?
- 2) wh-phrases have been claimed to target the same position as foci in a wide range of languages
  - is this also true of Udmurt?

### Aims

- ➤ Describing the distribution of single wh-phrases in main clauses in Udmurt
  - 1. in light of the ongoing SOV > SVO change of Udmurtpotential Russian influence?
  - 2. in relation to focus placement
  - 3. potential **factors** determining the distribution of *wh*-phrases:
    - 1. areal and generational variation
    - 2. information structure
    - 3. differences in interpretation

### Claims

• possible (linear) positions for wh-phrases:



- foci may target the same positions, but the most typical positions for wh-items ≠ as those for foci
- wh XV/wh VX (sentence-initial):
  - most common both in old and in contemporary Udmurt texts
  - neutral for young speakers living in Udmurtia
  - correlates with a major acceptance and production of VX order and sentence-final foci

Claims – cont.

### • X wh V:

- more frequent in old than in contemporary texts
- neutral for speakers of Udm. living in Tatarstan
- correlates with a preference for **V-final** structures and **imm. preverbal foci**
- V wh  $\rightarrow$  echo-questions  $\rightarrow$  sporadic
- X wh Y V  $\rightarrow$  X is topicalized  $\rightarrow$  sporadic

### Outline

- **1. Typological background**: *wh*-phrase placement, focus placement and word order types
- 2. Data
- 3. The distribution of *wh*-phrases and of foci in **old** and **contemporary Udmurt** texts
- 4. Wh-phrase placement in Russian
- **5. Potential factors** determining the distribution of wh-phrases in contemporary Udmurt
- 6. Conclusions

# Cross-linguistic distribution of interrogative phrases

- Dryer (2013):
  - 1. obligatorily initial
  - 2. not obligatorily initial
    - in situ
    - focus position
    - immediately preverbal
    - sentence-final
  - **3. mixed**: some *wh*-phrases obligatorily initial, some not

# Word order type, wh-placement, focus placement

	V-initial	SVO	V-final
wh (based on Greenberg 1966, Dryer 1991, Primus 2001)	sentence-initial	no correlation	in situ
		sentence-initial in situ	imm. preverbal
			(sentence-initial)
<b>focus</b> (based on Czypionka 2007)	(not examined)	sentence-initial	imm. preverbal
		postverbal	sentence-initial
		sentence-final	

### Data

- previous literature
- material used for my doctoral dissertation (Asztalos 2018):
  - written material:
    - "old" texts: end of 19th beginning of 20th cent. (folktales, newspaper, drama)
    - contemporary texts: newspaper; blog posts by young Udmurts
  - questionnaire studying the word order preferences of native speakers of Udmurt (fieldwork in 2014-2015)
    - 2 rough areal groups: speakers living in *Udmurtia/Tatarstan*
    - 2 rough generational groups: younger/older
- recent consultations with a native speaker

## Wh-phrase placement in old Udmurt

• Shutov (1999: 19), Karakulova & Karakulov (2001: 80): wh-phrases in old Udmurt were typically immediately preverbal:

```
(1) Ad'ami köńa syle?

person how_much costs

'How much does a person cost?'
```

# Placement of interrogative phrases in old and contemporary Udmurt texts

	XwhV	Sentence-initial		Other:  in situ
		wh V X	wh X V	X wh Y V V wh
<b>Old texts</b> (1891-1924)	9 ( <b>23,7%</b> )	22 ( <b>57,9</b> %)	2 ( <b>5,2%</b> )	5 (13,2%)
Contempo rary texts (21st cent.)	3 ( <b>1,9%</b> )	117 ( <b>72,2</b> %)	34 ( <b>20</b> , <b>9</b> %)	8 (4,9%)

### Focus placement in old Udmurt

- immediately preverbal or sentence-final in the texts of Munkácsi (1887) and Wichmann (1901)
- no data from earlier periods
- sentence-final focusing presumably developed under the influence of Russian (cf. Tánczos 2010, Asztalos 2020)
- → presumably, there was a previous stage of Udmurt with immediately preverbal (non-sentence-initial) wh-phrases and immediately preverbal foci (→ conform to SOV)

## Focus placement in contemporary Udmurt

- standard variety: immediately preverbal (most common/accepted, cf. Tánczos 2010, Asztalos 2020)
- (2) Tuž-ges no ćeber karťina-jez KAT'A daśaz.

  very-CMPR PCL nice picture-ACC Kate made

  'It was Kate who made the nicest picture.' (Asztalos 2020: 32)
- Russian-influenced variety: sentence-final (ibid.)
- (3) Tuž-ges no ćeber karťina-jez daśaz KAT'A.

  very-CMPR PCL nice picture-ACC made Kate

  'It was Kate who made the nicest picture.' (ibid.)

## Focus placement in contemporary Udmurt – cont.

- preverbal but not verb-adjacent (Asztalos 2020):
- (4) *L'era TA KUREG-EZ prazdńike vajiz.*Lera this chicken-ACC to.the.party brought

  'Lera brought THIS CHICKEN to the party.' (Asztalos 2020: 37)
- marginally: *in situ* (Bulyčov 1947: 77, Konjuxova 1964: 6):
- (5) a. *PINALJOS kolhozyn užazy.*children in.the.kolkhoz worked
  'It is the children who have worked in the kolkhoz.'
  - b. Pinaljos KOLHOZYN užazy. 'It is in the kolkhoz that children have worked.'
  - c. Pinaljos kolhozyn užazy. 'Work was what children have done in the kolkhoz.'

(Konjuxova: ibid.)

# Interrogative phrase placement vs. focus placement in Udmurt

		wh	Foc	
"old" Udmurt	Shutov (1999), Karakulova & Karakulov (2001)	immediately preverbal	immediately	
	Asztalos (2018)	sentence-initial > immediately preV	<pre>preV / sentence- final*</pre>	
contemp	orary texts	sentence-initial, wh VX > wh XV	<pre>imm. preV &gt; sentfinal &gt; non-immed. preV (+ in situ)</pre>	

<sup>\*</sup>no studies on their relative frequency neither on foci in other positions

# How does this relate to the distribution of wh-phrases in Russian?

- neutral position: sentence-initial (Bailyn 2012, a.o.)
- (6) *Gd'e* ty rabotajeś? → not necessarily V-adjacent where you work 'Where do you work?'
- preverbal not clause-initial -> topicalized (subject) pronoun preceding it (Bailyn 2012):
- (7) *Ty gd'e* rabotajeś? you where work
- **sentence-final** → *echo*-questions (ibid.):
- (8) *Ty* rabotajeś **gďe**? you work where 'You work where?'

## Potential **factors** determining the distribution of wh-phrases 1.: Areal and generational variation

		X wh V	sentence-initial wh	
			wh SXV	wh VSX
Tatarstan	older	strong preference	_	
	younger	preference	_	
Udmurtia	older	slight preference	also produced	_
	younger	rare	clear preference	also produced

## Potential **factors** determining the distribution of wh-phrases 1.: Areal and generational variation

```
• S X wh V:
                                              neutral for
          bazaryś
                             kine
                                      adźiz?
(6) Liďija
                                                    speakers from Tatarstan;
                                                    a part of older/Udmurtia
   Lidia
          from.the.market whom
                                      saw
   'Whom did Lidia see at the market?'
```

#### younger/Udmurtia wh SXV:

(7) *Kine* Liďija bazaryś adźiz? (+ considered as grammatical by whom Lidia from.the.market 95% of *the total* nr. of informants) saw

wh VSX: younger/Udmurtia

(8) *Kine* bazaryś? adźiz Liďija from.the.market whom saw Lidia (cf. Asztalos 2018: 154)

## Wh-placement and its correlates

- in the data retrieved from the questionnaire, the preference for **imm. preverbal wh**-phrase placement (X **wh** V) correlates with a preference for **V-final** structures and **immediately preverbal foci**
- the preference for sentence-initial wh-positioning (wh X V / wh V X) correlates with a major "tolerance" for VX order and sentence-final foci, cf. Asztalos (2018)

# Potential **factors** determining the distribution of *wh*-phrases 2.: Information structure

- I propose that X wh V
  - > is **neutral** for
    - speakers from Tatarstan
    - a part of older speakers from Udmurtia
  - > is **non-neutral** for
    - young/Udmurtia
    - a smaller part of old/Udmurtia
    - → in this variety of Udmurt, X wh V are reanalysed as sent. with a (contrastive) topic preceding the wh
      - → similarly to what we see in Russian

# Potential **factors** determining the distribution of *wh*-phrases 2.: Information structure

Context: Remembering the Eurovision Song contest winners of the past few years and their songs

- (9) Vuono aryn mar voźmalom? → contrastive topic next in.year what we.will.wait 'For next year, what are we expecting?' (Marjalen zareźez 19.05.2015)
- X wh Y V presumably also involve topicalized elements before the whelement:
- (10) **Ton mar** tatyn kariśkod, intelligentnoj adami?

  you what here do clever man

  'And you, what are you doing here, clever man?' (Marjalen zareźez 02.09.2015)

# Potential **factors** determining the distribution of *wh*-phrases 3.: Interpretational differences

• V wh: based on consultations with a native speaker (Y. Speshilova), to be interpreted as echo-questions:

```
(11) Školajazy miľemyz intyjazy kytćy?
in.their.school us accommodate where.ILL
'So then, where did they accommodate us in their school?'
(Mynam malpanjosy, 29.03.2016)
```

(12) Lidija bazaryś adźiz **kine**? Lidia from.the.market saw whom 'Lidia saw at the market whom?'

### Conclusions

- although wh-phrases and foci might have targeted the same (i.e., the immediately preverbal) position in an earlier stage of Udmurt, the distribution of wh-phrases and of foci is more divergent in contemporary Udmurt
- esp. in the variety used by young Udmurts, wh-phrases are most commonly sentence-initial, which correlates with a major acceptance and production of VX structures and of sentencefinal foci
- both sentence-initial wh-placement and sentence-final focusing are signs of moving away from a rigid OV type (cf. also Asztalos, Gugán & Mus 2017)

### Conclusions – cont.

- Russian influence cannot be excluded:
  - ■widespread use of sentence-initial wh-phrases
     (young Udmurts → most Russian-influenced variety of Udmurt)
  - ■X wh V structures → reanalysis as constructions with a topicalized X
  - V wh  $\rightarrow$  echo-questions

### Some open questions

- Are there cases when sentence-initial appearance of whphrases is obligatory?
- in situ wh-items and their relation to in situ foci
- wh VX (more common in texts) vs. wh XV (preferred by young/Udmurtia in the questionnaire): free variants? Or do they differ, e.g., in their information structure?
- The potential influence of Tatar in the regions in Tatarstan
- Providing a syntactic derivation of the position of wh-phrases
  - is there wh-movement (and optional verb raising) in Udmurt?

## Thank you for your attention!



## Acknowledgements

- I am grateful to Yulia Speshilova for answering my questions related to the topic of this talk, and to all of the informants who filled out my questionnaire.
- This talk was made in the framework of the following projects of the National Research Innovation and Development Office of Hungary (NKFIH):
  - NKFI-125282 Typological Database of the Volga Area Finno-Ugric Languages
  - NKFI-129921 Implications of endangered Uralic languages for syntactic theory and the history of Hungarian
  - NKFI-135958 How prosody shapes word order: An integrated interface-based approach to the post-verbal domain in OV languages
  - NKFI-125206 Nominal Structures in Uralic Languages

### References

- Asztalos, Erika. 2018. Szórendi típusváltás az udmurt nyelvben. Eötvös Loránd University, PhD dissertation. Manuscript.
- Asztalos, Erika. 2020. Focus in Udmurt: Positions, Contrastivity, and Exhaustivity. Finno-Ugric Languages and Linguistics Vol. 9. No. 1-2. (2020), 14–57.
- Asztalos Erika Gugán Katalin Mus Nikolett 2017. Uráli VX szórend: nyenyec, hanti és udmurt mondatszerkezeti változatok. In É. Kiss Katalin – Hegedűs Attila – Pintér Lilla (szerk.), Nyelvelmélet és diakrónia 3, 30–62. Budapest – Piliscsaba: PPKE BTK Elméleti Nyelvészeti Tanszék – Magyar Nyelvészeti Tanszék.
- Bailyn, John F. 2012. The Syntax of Russian. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Bulicsov, M. N. (Булычов, M. H.) 1947. Порядок слов в удмуртском простом предложении. Ижевск: Удмуртгосиздат.
- Czypionka, Anna. 2007. Word Order and Focus Position in the World's Languages. Linguistische Berichte 212. 439–454.

### References

- Dryer, Matthew S. 1991. SVO Languages and the OV/VO Typology. Journal of Linguistics 27(2). 443–482.
- Dryer, Matthew S. 2013. Position of Interrogative Phrases in Content Questions. In Dryer, Matthew S. – Haspelmath, Martin (eds.), The World Atlas of Language Structures Online. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. (<a href="http://wals.info/chapter/93">http://wals.info/chapter/93</a>)
- Karakulova, Margarita K. Karakulov, Bulat I. (Каракулова, М. К. Каракулов, Б. И.) 2001. Сопоставительная грамматика русского и удмуртского языков. Ижевск: Издательский дом "Удмуртский университет".
- Konyuhova, A. V. (Конюхова, A.a B.) 1964. Удмурт кыл. Грамматика. Кыкетü люкетэз. Синтаксис. Ижевск: Удмурт книжной издательство.

### References

- Greenberg, J. H. 1966. Some Universals of Grammar with Particular Reference to the Order of Meaningful Elements. In Greenberg, Joseph H. (eds.), Universals of Human Language, 58–90. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Marjalen zarezez. marjamoll.blogspot.ru (Last access: 20.08.2022)
- Mynam malpanjosy. <a href="http://udmurto4ka.blogspot.com/">http://udmurto4ka.blogspot.com/</a> (Last access: 23.08.2022)
- Primus, Beatrice 2001. Word order typology. In Haspelmath, Martin König, Ekkehard – Oesterreicher, Wulf – Raible, Wolfgang (szerk.) 2001. Language typology and language universals: an international handbook 2, 855–872. Berlin– New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Shutov, A. F. (Шутов, A. Ф.) 1999. Гипотаксис в удмуртском языке: пособие для студентов по синтаксису. Ижевск: Издательство "Удмуртский университет".
- Tánczos, Orsolya. 2010. Szórendi variációk és lehetséges okaik az udmurtban. Nyelvtudományi Közlemények 107. 218–228.